	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/596,551	IONESCU, ADRIAN F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuy V. Tran	2821
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Thuy V. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Amernik, Burton	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 22 June 2007	Time: <u>1:00 PM ET</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-2		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
	•	
Mansful m		
THUVYTRAN		
(Examine) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was held to discuss about the corrections to be made to the claims so as to avoid 112-issues and more clearly define the claimed invention, resulting in an Examiner's Amendment including:

Claim 1, line 25, "is capable of initiating" has been changed to --initiates--; and

Claim 2, line 12, --with-- has been inserted between "synchronizing" and "the".

Remark: The telephone interview was initiated on 06/21/2007 but the result was made on 06/22/2007. .